International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management Studies

ISSN 2582-2292

Vol. 8, No. 04 July-August; 2026 Page. No. 322-332

To cite this article: A. S Priyanka and Neelima Anilkumar (2026). ROADWORK VS. REAL LIFE: THE HUMAN AND ECONOMIC IMPACT OF WIDENING KERALA'S NH-66, International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management Studies (IJRCMS) 8 (4): 322-332 Article No. 822 Sub Id 1375

ROADWORK VS. REAL LIFE: THE HUMAN AND ECONOMIC IMPACT OF WIDENING KERALA'S NH-66

A. S Priyanka[1] and Neelima Anilkumar[2]

[1]Assistant Professor, Post graduate and Research department of Commerce, Sree Narayana College Nattika Thrissur Kerala. Email Id: arunapriya18103@gmail.com
Orcid Id: https://orcid.org/0009-0004-2278-0914 Phone No; 9745824258

[2]M. Com Student, Post graduate and Research department of Commerce, Sree Narayana College Nattika Thrissur Kerala

DOI: https://doi.org/10.38193/IJRCMS.2026.8425

ABSTRACT

Expanding a major highway through a densely populated region does far more than alter traffic flows—it fundamentally reshapes everyday life and the local economy. This study examines the immediate and long-term impacts of widening National Highway 66 (NH-66) into a six-lane corridor in Kerala's Thrissur district. Drawing on primary survey data from 120 local residents and commuters, we evaluate the transition from chronic bottlenecks to an infrastructure-led commercial push.

The findings highlight a clear contrast. On one hand, residents view long-term structural outcomes—such as steep property value appreciation and improved regional connectivity—very positively. On the other hand, active construction has created severe operational headaches, notably recurring localized flooding (61.7%) and heavy gridlock along service roads. We conclude with targeted urban design recommendations to minimize localized disruption while building high-capacity transit corridors.

KEYWORDS: Modern Infrastructure, Economic Growth, Highway Expansion, Traffic Bottlenecks, Commuter Impact, Transport Economics

1. INTRODUCTION: Growth and Bottlenecks in a Linear Economy

Efficient transport networks underpin regional economic development. Yet, in Kerala, unique geographic reality complicates standard infrastructure expansion. The state features a dense, 590 km coastal belt where towns continuously merge into one another. Land is scarce, traffic volume is high, and congestion is a constant concern.

For decades, public road development struggled to keep pace with private growth. Commercial hubs and residential areas expanded alongside narrow, two-lane roads, leading to chronic infrastructure shortages. To address this structural bottleneck, the National Highways Authority of India (NHAI) undertook a multi-billion-rupee project to convert NH-66 into a standardized six-lane, access-controlled highway. This expansion provides a direct case study: can high-capacity highway infrastructure successfully integrate into a hyper-dense, pre-existing urban network?

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

While mega-highways are intended to boost macroeconomic productivity, their physical construction often disrupts daily life for adjacent communities. In tightly packed corridors, highway widening frequently cuts off access roads, delays daily travel, and creates unexpected environmental complications. Focusing on Thrissur District, this paper measures the social and economic friction produced during the construction phase and assesses how well the project aligns with local needs.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 Hirschman's Theory of Unbalanced Growth

We analyze the dynamics of the NH-66 expansion through Albert O. Hirschman's (1958) Theory of Unbalanced Growth. Hirschman argued that developing economies rarely possess the resources for simultaneous, balanced investments across all sectors. Instead, growth occurs by making strategic, deliberate capital investments in key areas, creating imbalances that force investment in complementary sectors.

His framework balances two core components:

- **Social Overhead Capital (SOC):** Essential public infrastructure (e.g., highways, utilities) marked by high investment costs, long development periods, and widespread public benefits.
- **Directly Productive Activities (DPA):** Private economic operations, including retail, manufacturing, logistics, and services.

International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management Studies

ISSN 2582-2292

Vol. 8, No. 04 July-August; 2026 Page. No. 322-332

HIRSCHMAN'S DEVELOPMENT MECHANICS (NH-66)

Path A: SOC-Led Growth
(Investment via Excess Capacity)

State builds advanced NH-66 infrastructure
ahead of local commercial demand.

Lowers logistics costs; induces private
commercial capital along the corridor.

Path B: DPA-Led Growth
(Investment via Shortage / Bottlenecks)

Private commercial expansion
outpaces legacy 2-lane capacity.

Severe congestion creates a "compulsive
sequence" forcing state SOC expansion.

2.2 Reconceptualizing the "Compulsive Sequence" in Brownfield Contexts

Historically, the NH-66 corridor in Kerala has followed **Path B**. Rapid urbanization, demographic concentration, and commercial expansion (DPA) overwhelmed the legacy two-lane highway, creating a clear structural bottleneck. This bottleneck represents Hirschman's "compulsive sequence"—an intense logistical and social pressure that obligates state intervention.

However, classic theory assumes an elastic supply of land. In a brownfield environment like Thrissur, expanding the infrastructure generates its own friction. The project requires institutional risk-sharing—such as the state government directly absorbing 25% of land acquisition costs—to break the spatial deadlock.

Once completed, the transition to **Path A** triggers **Forward Linkages** (lowering vehicle operating costs, reducing travel time, increasing land capitalization) and **Backward Linkages** (upstream demands for cement, steel, and labor during construction). **The Theoretical Framework: Balancing the Scale**

To understand this dynamic, the study points to economist Albert O. Hirschman's **Theory of Unbalanced Growth**. Hirschman argued that developing regions shouldn't try to build everything at once. Instead, they optimize limited budgets by investing heavily in strategic areas, creating an imbalance that forces subsequent growth. This model balances two elements:

- **Social Overhead Capital (SOC):** Public infrastructure like highways and utilities.
- **Directly Productive Activities (DPA):** Private businesses, shops, and logistics centers.

Historically, NH-66 followed a path where private commercial growth (DPA) far outpaced public roads (SOC), resulting in agonizing gridlock. This gridlock created a "compulsive sequence"—a pressure cooker situation that forced the state to act.

However, classic economic theory assumes empty, flexible land. In a crowded brownfield environment like Thrissur, expanding a highway requires intense risk-sharing; for example, the state government had to directly cover **25% of the land acquisition costs** just to break the real estate deadlock. Once complete, this transition yields major **Forward Linkages** (lower fuel costs, shorter travel times, higher land value) and **Backward Linkages** (booming demand for local steel, cement, and labor).

3. METHODOLOGY

This study uses a mixed-methods research design combining secondary trend verification with structured primary survey inputs ($N=120$) collected across regions directly affected by the NH-66 widening project in Thrissur District.

3.1 Sampling and Spatial Attributes

Primary survey data was gathered using deliberate key-informant sampling to ensure representation across residential and occupational typologies. The spatial and demographic characteristics of the sample are detailed below:

- **Sample Size (N):** 120 respondents.
- **Gender Distribution:** 60% Female ($n=72$), 40% Male ($n=48$).
- **Age Composition:** 60.8% aged 21–30 ($n=73$); 14.2% above 50 ($n=17$); 12.5% aged 31–40 ($n=15$); remaining below 20 and 41–50.
- **Socio-Economic Stratification:** Students (44.2%), Corporate/Salaried Employees (31.7%), Self-Employed (15.0%), Business Owners (5.8%), and Farmers (3.3%).
- **Spatial Typology:** Rural (51.7%), Semi-Urban (26.7%), Urban (21.7%).

3.2 Analytical Architecture

Hypothetical constructions were evaluated using standard indicators for the independent variable (**Road Infrastructure Development / Efficiency**) and the dependent variable (**Regional Development Impact**). Likert scales ($1 = \text{Strongly Disagree}$ to $5 = \text{Strongly Agree}$) were aggregated using the Weighted Average Mean Method.

International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management Studies

ISSN 2582-2292

Vol. 8, No. 04 July-August; 2026 Page. No. 322-332

To account for non-normal data structures, non-parametric inferential tools were employed within Jamovi Statistical Software:

- **Shapiro-Wilk Test:** Applied to test normality assumptions across composite scores.
- **Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test:** Executed as a non-parametric alternative to the paired t-test to assess variation between structural efficiency gains and regional development perceptions.
- **Spearman's Rank Correlation (ρ):** Deployed to evaluate the relationship between the independent infrastructure dimensions and dependent regional development outcomes.

4. EMPIRICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Descriptors of Mobility and Accessibility Metrics

The survey confirmed high infrastructure dependence, with 76.7% of respondents classified as daily commuters.

Commuting Frequency	Percentage
Daily	76.7%
Weekly	15.0%
Occasionally	7.5%
Rarely	0.8%

Reflecting the regional settlement structure, 78.3% of respondents report reaching the nearest commercial center within 30 minutes, and 87.5% indicate emergency medical access within the same window.

4.2 Weighted Average Perceptions: Efficiency vs. Regional Impact

Applying the weighted mean framework highlighted variations in user perception across different operational metrics.

International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management Studies

ISSN 2582-2292

Vol. 8, No. 04 July-August; 2026 Page. No. 322-332

Table 4.2.1: Evaluation Matrix for Road Infrastructure and Transport Efficiency

Infrastructure & Efficiency Indicators	**1 (SD)**	**2 (D)**	**3 (N)**	**4 (A)**	**5 (SA)**	**∑fw/∑f**	**Rank**
6-lane design reduces transit times for students/commuters	17	20	24	35	24	**3.24**	**1**
Public transport availability has improved post-development	12	28	29	36	15	**3.11**	**2**
Local road width is adequate for traffic management	11	37	22	36	14	**3.04**	**3**
Local road surface conditions are highly satisfactory	27	25	28	30	10	**2.75**	**4**

Table 4.2.2: Matrix for Mobility Shifts and Regional Development Outcomes

Regional Development Impact Metrics	**1 (SD)**	**2 (D)**	**3 (N)**	**4 (A)**	**5 (SA)**	**∑fw/∑f**	**Rank**
Land and property values have escalated significantly	6	15	23	46	30	**3.65**	**1**
Inter-regional economic/social connectivity has scaled	7	16	26	50	21	**3.51**	**2**
General household/area economic indices have improved	9	19	22	43	27	**3.50**	**3**
Micro-movement of market and agricultural cargo has	11	22	31	41	15	**3.22**	**4**

Regional Development Impact Metrics	1 (SD)	2 (D)	3 (N)	4 (A)	5 (SA)	∑fw/∑f	Rank
optimized							
General travel frequency exhibits expansion trends	12	21	37	32	18	**3.19**	**5**
Mainline conditions support smooth heavy freight/truck logistics	11	14	22	45	28	**3.08**	**6**

The data shows a divergence between immediate travel conditions and long-term asset appreciation. While travel time reduction ranks highest for transport efficiency ($\text{Mean} = 3.24$), general satisfaction with local road surfaces is notably lower ($\text{Mean} = 2.75$) due to ongoing construction friction. Conversely, structural forward linkages show strong performance, led by land value capitalization ($\text{Mean} = 3.65$) and broader regional economic integration ($\text{Mean} = 3.51$).

4.3 Inferential Statistical Analysis

4.3.1 Normality Testing

To choose between parametric and non-parametric evaluation tracks, a Shapiro-Wilk test was conducted on the compiled indices.

$$\text{Shapiro-Wilk } W = 0.971, \quad p = 0.010$$

Because the p-value was below the standard threshold ($\alpha = 0.05$), the assumption of normality was rejected, making non-parametric tools necessary for subsequent operations.

4.3.2 Hypothesis Testing via Spearman's Rank Correlation

To analyze the relationship between the independent variable (Transport Efficiency) and the dependent variable (Regional Development), the following hypotheses were tested:

- $$H_0: \text{There is no significant relationship between transport efficiency gains and regional development outcomes in Thrissur.}$$
- $$H_1: \text{There is a significant relationship between transport efficiency gains and regional development outcomes in Thrissur.}$$

The Spearman correlation matrix returned:

International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management Studies

ISSN 2582-2292

Vol. 8, No. 04 July-August; 2026 Page. No. 322-332

$$\rho = 0.676, \quad p < 0.001$$

The correlation coefficient ($\rho = 0.676$) demonstrates a strong, positive, and statistically significant relationship. Consequently, H_0 is rejected, confirming that improvements in transport efficiency are closely linked to enhanced regional development outcomes.

4.3.3 Non-Parametric Variance: Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test

A Wilcoxon signed-rank test was executed to determine whether users perceived short-term transport efficiency differently from long-term regional impacts.

$$\text{Wilcoxon } W = 874, \quad p < 0.001$$

$$\text{Mean Score (Efficiency)} = 3.04, \quad \text{Mean Score (Impact)} = 3.44$$

The test indicates a highly significant difference ($p < 0.001$). The higher mean score for regional impact (3.44 vs 3.04) suggests that while respondents recognize long-term structural benefits like urbanization (75% agreement) and asset appreciation, their assessment of day-to-day transport efficiency remains constrained by construction-related disruptions.

4.4 Granular Sub-Corridor Bottlenecks

The brownfield expansion shows distinct infrastructural challenges:

LOCALIZED EXTERNALLY INDUCED DISRUPTIONS

Construction Delays	Waterlogging Issues	Pedestrian Safety
77.5% reported systemic delays.	61.7% reported severe localized waterlogging.	45.0% reported missing safe micro-crossing facilities.

- **Logistical Disruptions:** 77.5% of respondents report significant delays due to active construction zones.
- **Micro-Spatial Safety Challenges:** 45% point out a lack of pedestrian crossing installations, leading to high-risk maneuvers across mainline concrete dividers.
- **Drainage and Design Limitations:** 61.7% report recurrent waterlogging near flyovers and bridge structural joins during heavy rain, highlighting areas where drainage design requires adjustment.

International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management Studies

ISSN 2582-2292

Vol. 8, No. 04 July-August; 2026 Page. No. 322-332

5. CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

The empirical findings validate Hirschman's unbalanced growth dynamics within the NH-66 expansion project. The structural transition from an infrastructure shortage (Path B) to an infrastructure-led surplus (Path A) has generated a strong positive correlation ($\rho = 0.676$) with regional development indicators, notably land value gains and sub-regional urbanization.

However, the analysis reveals a clear divergence during the brownfield transition. The negative externalities of the construction phase—such as service road bottlenecks, localized drainage issues, and limited pedestrian access—affect user assessments of daily transport efficiency. This demonstrates that in high-density linear economies, the spatial friction encountered during construction can temporarily offset the long-term logistical benefits of the project.

5.2 Policy Suggestions

To manage these transitional issues and support long-term regional development, the following interventions are suggested:

1. **Integrated Drainage Infrastructure:** redrafting drainage systems near flyovers and underpasses to prevent localized flooding during monsoon periods.

2. **Pedestrian-Safe Access Design:** Constructing grade-separated pedestrian facilities, such as footover bridges and designated underpasses, near institutional and commercial zones.

3. **Service Road Standardization:** Widening and maintaining parallel service roads to separate local traffic from high-speed mainline freight.

4. **Transit-Oriented Amenities:** Developing specialized roadside amenities, including rest areas, public facilities, and electric vehicle charging infrastructure, to support sustainable corridor growth.

REFERENCES

- Agarchand, N., & Laishram, B. (2017). Sustainable infrastructure development challenges through PPP procurement process: Indian perspective. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 10(3), 642-662.

- Arts, J., Leendertse, W., & Tillema, T. (2021). Road infrastructure: planning, impact and management. *International Encyclopedia of Transportation*, 1, 360-372.

- Asher, S., Garg, T., & Novosad, P. (2020). The ecological impact of transportation infrastructure. *The Economic Journal*, 130(629), 1173-1199.

- Bröcker, J., & Rietveld, P. (2009). Infrastructure and regional development. *Handbook of Regional Growth and Development Theories*.
- Bustamante, T. R., & Valdiviezo Sir, V. M. (2026). Road infrastructure as a driver of territorial development: a bibliometric review. *Revista InveCom*, 6(3).
- Datta, S. (2012). The impact of improved highways on Indian firms. *Journal of Development Economics*, 99(1), 46-57.
- Government of India. (2012). *Basic road statistics of India (2009–11)*. Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Transport Research Wing.
- Hirschman, A. O. (1958). *The strategy of economic development*. Yale University Press.
- Ihs, A., Sjögren, L., Blomqvist, G., & Grudemo, S. (2003). Planning of the road transport system development: A literature review.
- Ivanova, E., & Masarova, J. (2013). Importance of road infrastructure in the economic development and competitiveness. *Economics and Management*, 18(2), 263-274.
- Kapatsa, C., Kavishe, N., Zulu, S. L., & Maro, G. (2026). Sustainability assessment indicators of road infrastructure projects: a systematic literature review. *Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology*, 24(2), 556-580.
- Kuncoro, E., Wurarah, R. N., & Erari, I. E. (2024). The impact of road infrastructure development on ecosystems and communities. *Social, Ecology, Economy for Sustainable Development Goals Journal*, 1(2).
- Lomazov, V., Lomazov, A., Petrosov, D., & Akupiyan, O. (2022). Intelligent evaluation of implementation road infrastructure development program. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 63, 1089-1094.
- Lokesha, M. N. (2017). *The Effects of Road Infrastructure on Agricultural Development in Karnataka: An Empirical Analysis* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Mysore).
- Manić, N., Maslak, E., & Šerifi, V. (2026). Energy efficiency and environmental impacts of road infrastructure construction. *Asian Journal of Water, Environment and Pollution*, 23(2), 025520395.
- Medeiros, V., Ribeiro, R. S., & Amaral, P. V. (2026). Infrastructure, growth, and regional disparities: Looking at efficiency, redistribution, and equity goals in road investments. *Research in Transportation Economics*, 115, 101668.

- Ng, C. P., Law, T. H., Jakarni, F. M., & Kulanthayan, S. (2019). Road infrastructure development and economic growth. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 512(1), 012045.
- Perra, E. (2026). Road to division: Ethnic favoritism and road infrastructure in Ethiopia. *Journal of Comparative Economics*.
- Polyzos, S., & Tsiotas, D. (2020). The contribution of transport infrastructures to the economic and regional development. *Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management*, 15(1), 5-23.
- Rohman, M. A., Maelissa, N., & Wiguna, I. (2026). Toward Better Social Value Creation in Road Infrastructure: A Conceptual Model. *Frontiers in Sustainable Cities*, 8, 1760070.
- Rosik, P., & Wójcik, J. (2022). Transport infrastructure and regional development: A survey of literature on wider economic and spatial impacts. *Sustainability*, 15(1), 548.
- Ruiz, A., & Guevara, J. (2020). Environmental and economic impacts of road infrastructure development: Dynamic considerations and policies. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 36(3), 04020006.
- Taillanter, E., & Barthelemy, M. (2023). Evolution of road infrastructure in large urban areas. *Physical Review E*, 107(3), 034304.
- Thomas, H., & Serrenho, A. C. (2026). More roads? Infrastructure elasticities and requirements to reduce passenger transport energy demand. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 150, 105073.
- Van Damme, O., Van Geelen, H., & Courange, P. (2016). The evaluation of road infrastructure development projects. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 14, 467-473.
- Villalba-Romero, F., Liyanage, C., & Roumboutsos, A. (2015). Sustainable PPPs: A comparative approach for road infrastructure. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 3(2), 243-250.